

# Counting graph homomorphisms

#### John Engbers

Department of Mathematics University of Notre Dame

MIGHTY LIII - Iowa State University, Ames, IA

September 22, 2012



Counting graph homomorphisms

# **Graph homomorphism (**H**-coloring):** A map from V(G) to V(H) that

preserves edge adjacency.



(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

# **Graph homomorphism (**H**-coloring):** A map from V(G) to V(H) that

preserves edge adjacency.



(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

# **Graph homomorphism (***H***-coloring):** A map from V(G) to V(H) that

preserves edge adjacency.



Examples: independent sets,

不同 トイモトイモ

# **Graph homomorphism (***H***-coloring):** A map from V(G) to V(H) that preserves edge adjacency.

preserves edge adjacency.



Examples: independent sets, proper q-colorings,

The Sec. 74

# **Graph homomorphism (***H***-coloring):** A map from V(G) to V(H) that preserves edge adjacency.

preserves edge adjacency.



Examples: independent sets, proper q-colorings, Widom-Rowlinson

The Sec. 74

# **Graph homomorphism (***H***-coloring):** A map from V(G) to V(H) that preserves edge adjacency

preserves edge adjacency.



**Examples:** independent sets, proper *q*-colorings, Widom-Rowlinson **Notation:** hom(G, H) = number of homomorphisms from *G* to *H*.

イロト イポト イラト イラ

# **Graph homomorphism (***H***-coloring):** A map from V(G) to V(H) that

preserves edge adjacency.



**Examples:** independent sets, proper *q*-colorings, Widom-Rowlinson **Notation:** hom(G, H) = number of homomorphisms from *G* to *H*.

#### Question

Fix *H*. Given a family of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ , which  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  maximizes hom(G, H)?

John Engbers (Notre Dame)

Question

Fix *H*. Given a family of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ , which  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  maximizes hom(G, H)?

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Question

Fix *H*. Given a family of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ , which  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  maximizes hom(G, H)?

•  $\mathcal{G} = n$ -vertex *m*-edge graphs

A (10) A (10) A (10)

#### Question

Fix *H*. Given a family of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ , which  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  maximizes hom(G, H)?

- $\mathcal{G} = n$ -vertex *m*-edge graphs
  - $H = H_{ind}$ ,  $H = H_{WR}$ , class of H (Cutler-Radcliffe)



A (1) > A (2) > A

#### Question

Fix *H*. Given a family of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ , which  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  maximizes hom(G, H)?

- $\mathcal{G} = n$ -vertex *m*-edge graphs
  - $H = H_{ind}$ ,  $H = H_{WR}$ , class of H (Cutler-Radcliffe)



Question

Fix *H*. Given a family of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ , which  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  maximizes hom(G, H)?

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Question

Fix *H*. Given a family of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ , which  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  maximizes hom(G, H)?

•  $\mathcal{G} = n$ -vertex *d*-regular bipartite graphs

A (10) A (10)

#### Question

Fix *H*. Given a family of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ , which  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  maximizes hom(G, H)?

•  $\mathcal{G} = n$ -vertex *d*-regular bipartite graphs

•  $H = H_{ind}$  (Kahn), generalized to all H (Galvin-Tetali)

 $\frac{n}{2d}K_{d,d}:$ 



#### Question

Fix *H*. Given a family of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ , which  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  maximizes hom(G, H)?

*G* = *n*-vertex *d*-regular bipartite graphs
 *H* = *H*<sub>ind</sub> (Kahn), generalized to *all H* (Galvin-Tetali)



•  $\mathcal{G} = n$ -vertex *d*-regular graphs

#### Question

Fix *H*. Given a family of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ , which  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  maximizes hom(G, H)?

- $\mathcal{G} = n$ -vertex *d*-regular bipartite graphs
  - $H = H_{ind}$  (Kahn), generalized to all H (Galvin-Tetali)



- G = n-vertex *d*-regular graphs
  - *H*<sub>ind</sub> (Zhao), class of *H* (Zhao, Galvin)







#### Question

Fix *H*. Given a family of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ , which  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  maximizes hom(G, H)?

- $\mathcal{G} = n$ -vertex *d*-regular bipartite graphs
  - $H = H_{ind}$  (Kahn), generalized to all H (Galvin-Tetali)



- $\mathcal{G} = n$ -vertex *d*-regular graphs
  - *H*<sub>ind</sub> (Zhao), class of *H* (Zhao, Galvin)







**Open Conjecture** 

Fix *H*. For  $\mathcal{G} = n$ -vertex *d*-regular graphs,  $\hom(G, H)$  is maximized when  $G = \frac{n}{2d}K_{d,d}$  or  $\frac{n}{d+1}K_{d+1}$ .

 $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(n, \delta) = n$ -vertex graphs with minimum degree  $\delta$ 

Today's Question

Fix *H*. Which  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$  maximizes hom(G, H)?

 $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$  = *n*-vertex graphs with minimum degree  $\delta$ 

#### Today's Question

Fix *H*. Which  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$  maximizes hom(G, H)?

#### Theorem (Galvin, 2011)

For all  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$  and  $n \geq 8\delta^2$ ,  $\hom(G, H_{ind})$  is maximized when  $G = K_{\delta, n-\delta}$ .



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

 $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(n, \delta) = n$ -vertex graphs with minimum degree  $\delta$ 

#### Today's Question

Fix *H*. Which  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$  maximizes hom(G, H)?

#### Theorem (Galvin, 2011)

For all  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$  and  $n \geq 8\delta^2$ ,  $\hom(G, H_{ind})$  is maximized when  $G = K_{\delta, n-\delta}$ .



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

 $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(n, \delta) = n$ -vertex graphs with minimum degree  $\delta$ 

#### Today's Question

Fix *H*. Which  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$  maximizes hom(G, H)?

#### Theorem (Galvin, 2011)

For all  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$  and  $n \ge 8\delta^2$ ,  $\hom(G, H_{ind})$  is maximized when  $G = K_{\delta, n-\delta}$ .



#### Note: hom $(K_{\delta,n-\delta}, H_{\text{ind}}) \geq 2^{n-\delta}$ .

#### Convention: Loops add 1 to degree

John Engbers (Notre Dame)

#### Conjecture

Fix *H*. For all  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$  and *n* large enough, hom(G, H) is maximized when  $G = K_{\delta, n-\delta}$ ,  $\frac{n}{2\delta}K_{\delta, \delta}$ , or  $\frac{n}{\delta+1}K_{\delta+1}$ .

#### Progress:

#### Conjecture

Fix *H*. For all  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$  and *n* large enough, hom(G, H) is maximized when  $G = K_{\delta, n-\delta}$ ,  $\frac{n}{2\delta}K_{\delta, \delta}$ , or  $\frac{n}{\delta+1}K_{\delta+1}$ .

#### Progress:

#### Theorem (E., 2012+)

- Conjecture is true for  $\delta = 1$ ,  $\delta = 2$ .
- Suppose that *H* satisfies ∑<sub>v∈V(H)</sub> d(v) < (Δ<sub>H</sub>)<sup>2</sup>. Then, for n > c<sup>δ</sup> and G ∈ G(n, δ), hom(G, H) is maximized when G = K<sub>δ,n-δ</sub>.

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

#### Conjecture

Fix *H*. For all  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$  and *n* large enough, hom(G, H) is maximized when  $G = K_{\delta, n-\delta}$ ,  $\frac{n}{2\delta}K_{\delta, \delta}$ , or  $\frac{n}{\delta+1}K_{\delta+1}$ .

#### Progress:

#### Theorem (E., 2012+)

- Conjecture is true for  $\delta = 1$ ,  $\delta = 2$ .
- Suppose that *H* satisfies Σ<sub>v∈V(H)</sub> d(v) < (Δ<sub>H</sub>)<sup>2</sup>. Then, for n > c<sup>δ</sup> and G ∈ G(n, δ), hom(G, H) is maximized when G = K<sub>δ,n-δ</sub>.

#### **Examples:**

• 
$$H_{\text{ind}}: \sum d(v) = 3; (\Delta_H)^2 = 4 \checkmark$$



3 > 4 3

#### Conjecture

Fix *H*. For all  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$  and *n* large enough, hom(G, H) is maximized when  $G = K_{\delta, n-\delta}$ ,  $\frac{n}{2\delta}K_{\delta, \delta}$ , or  $\frac{n}{\delta+1}K_{\delta+1}$ .

#### Progress:

#### Theorem (E., 2012+)

- Conjecture is true for  $\delta = 1$ ,  $\delta = 2$ .
- Suppose that *H* satisfies Σ<sub>v∈V(H)</sub> d(v) < (Δ<sub>H</sub>)<sup>2</sup>. Then, for n > c<sup>δ</sup> and G ∈ G(n, δ), hom(G, H) is maximized when G = K<sub>δ,n-δ</sub>.

#### Examples:

• 
$$H_{\text{ind}}$$
 :  $\sum d(v) = 3; (\Delta_H)^2 = 4 \checkmark$ 

•  $K_q: \sum d(v) = q(q-1); (\Delta_H)^2 = (q-1)^2$ 



#### Conjecture

Fix *H*. For all  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$  and *n* large enough, hom(G, H) is maximized when  $G = K_{\delta, n-\delta}$ ,  $\frac{n}{2\delta}K_{\delta, \delta}$ , or  $\frac{n}{\delta+1}K_{\delta+1}$ .

#### Progress:

#### Theorem (E., 2012+)

- Conjecture is true for  $\delta = 1$ ,  $\delta = 2$ .
- Suppose that *H* satisfies Σ<sub>v∈V(H)</sub> d(v) < (Δ<sub>H</sub>)<sup>2</sup>. Then, for n > c<sup>δ</sup> and G ∈ G(n, δ), hom(G, H) is maximized when G = K<sub>δ,n-δ</sub>.

#### Examples:

- $H_{\text{ind}}: \sum d(v) = 3; (\Delta_H)^2 = 4 \checkmark$
- $K_q: \sum d(v) = q(q-1); (\Delta_H)^2 = (q-1)^2$
- $H_{\mathsf{WR}}: \sum d(v) = 7; (\Delta_H)^2 = 9 \checkmark$



(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))





< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >





< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >





 $\hom(K_{\delta,n-\delta},H_{\mathsf{WR}}) \geq 3^{n-\delta}$ 

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

 $\operatorname{hom}(K_{\delta,n-\delta},H_{\mathsf{WR}}) \geq 3^{n-\delta}$ 

**Idea:** Partition  $\mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$  by the size of maximum matching *M*.

 $\hom(K_{\delta,n-\delta},H_{\mathsf{WR}}) \geq 3^{n-\delta}$ 

**Idea:** Partition  $\mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$  by the size of maximum matching *M*.

$$\hom(G, H_{\mathsf{WR}}) \le 7^{|M|} 3^{n-2|M|} = \left(rac{7}{3^2}
ight)^{|M|} 3^n$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

 $\hom(K_{\delta,n-\delta},H_{\mathsf{WR}}) \geq 3^{n-\delta}$ 

**Idea:** Partition  $\mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$  by the size of maximum matching *M*.

$$K\left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ J\left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \end{array}\right\}I$$

$$\hom(G, H_{\mathsf{WR}}) \le 7^{|M|} 3^{n-2|M|} = \left(\frac{7}{3^2}\right)^{|M|} 3^n = \left(\frac{\sum d(v)}{(\Delta_H)^2}\right)^{|M|} 3^n$$

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

 $\hom(K_{\delta,n-\delta},H_{\mathsf{WR}}) \geq 3^{n-\delta}$ 

**Idea:** Partition  $\mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$  by the size of maximum matching *M*.

$$K\left\{\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ J\left\{\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \end{array}\right\}I$$

$$\hom(G, H_{\mathsf{WR}}) \le 7^{|M|} 3^{n-2|M|} = \left(\frac{7}{3^2}\right)^{|M|} 3^n = \left(\frac{\sum d(v)}{(\Delta_H)^2}\right)^{|M|} 3^n$$

Any maximizing graph *G* has  $|M| \leq c\delta$ 

John Engbers (Notre Dame)

### Idea of proof for $H = H_{WR}$

**Graphs with**  $|M| \le \delta$ : Short argument gives  $K_{\delta,n-\delta}$  maximizes

Idea of proof for  $H = H_{WR}$ Graphs with  $|M| \le \delta$ : Short argument gives  $K_{\delta,n-\delta}$  maximizes Graphs with  $\delta + 1 \le |M| \le c\delta$ :



Idea of proof for  $H = H_{WR}$ Graphs with  $|M| \le \delta$ : Short argument gives  $K_{\delta,n-\delta}$  maximizes Graphs with  $\delta + 1 \le |M| \le c\delta$ :



#### Facts:

I is an independent set

Graphs with  $\delta + 1 \leq |M| \leq c\delta$ :



#### Facts:

- I is an independent set
- Most vertices in I have all neighbors in J

A (10) A (10) A (10)

Graphs with  $\delta + 1 \leq |M| \leq c\delta$ :



#### Facts:

- I is an independent set
- Most vertices in I have all neighbors in J
- Some set of  $\delta$  vertices in J has  $\approx \frac{n-2|M|}{\binom{|M|}{\delta}} = \Omega(n)$  neighbors in I.

4 6 1 1 4

Graphs with  $\delta + 1 \leq |M| \leq c\delta$ :



#### Facts:

- I is an independent set
- Most vertices in I have all neighbors in J

• Some set of  $\delta$  vertices in J has  $\approx \frac{n-2|M|}{\binom{|M|}{2}} = \Omega(n)$  neighbors in I.

#### Then:

• Case 1: All  $\delta$  vertices get color gray (<  $\left(\frac{7}{9}\right) 3^{n-\delta}$ )

イロト イポト イラト イラ

Graphs with  $\delta + 1 \leq |M| \leq c\delta$ :



#### Facts:

- I is an independent set
- Most vertices in I have all neighbors in J
- Some set of  $\delta$  vertices in J has  $\approx \frac{n-2|M|}{\binom{|M|}{2}} = \Omega(n)$  neighbors in I.

#### Then:

- Case 1: All  $\delta$  vertices get color gray (<  $\left(\frac{7}{9}\right) 3^{n-\delta}$ )
- Case 2: At least 1 of  $\delta$  vertices gets color blue/red ( $< \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\Omega(n)} 3^n$ )

#### Result for $\delta = 1, 2$ :

 Analyze structural properties of *edge-critical* graphs G (remove any edge ⇒ minimum degree drops)

#### Result for $\delta = 1, 2$ :

 Analyze structural properties of *edge-critical* graphs G (remove any edge => minimum degree drops)
 Future directions:

Notice:



$$\sum_{\nu \in V(H)} d(\nu) = 5; (\Delta_H)^2 = 4$$

Maximized in  $\mathcal{G}(n,2)$  by  $K_{2,n-2}$ 

#### Result for $\delta = 1, 2$ :

 Analyze structural properties of *edge-critical* graphs *G* (remove any edge => minimum degree drops)
 Future directions:

Notice:



 $\sum_{v \in V(H)} d(v) = 5; (\Delta_H)^2 = 4 \quad | \quad \text{Maximized in } \mathcal{G}(n, 2) \text{ by } K_{2, n-2}$ 

Sufficient  $(K_{\delta,n-\delta})$ : hom $(K_{\delta,\delta},H)^{\frac{1}{2\delta}} < \Delta_H$  & hom $(K_{\delta+1},H)^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}} < \Delta_H$ ?

#### Result for $\delta = 1, 2$ :

 Analyze structural properties of *edge-critical* graphs *G* (remove any edge => minimum degree drops)
 Future directions:

Notice:



 $\sum_{v \in V(H)} d(v) = 5; (\Delta_H)^2 = 4 \quad | \quad \text{Maximized in } \mathcal{G}(n, 2) \text{ by } K_{2,n-2}$ 

Sufficient  $(K_{\delta,n-\delta})$ : hom $(K_{\delta,\delta},H)^{\frac{1}{2\delta}} < \Delta_H$  & hom $(K_{\delta+1},H)^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}} < \Delta_H$ ?

•  $\delta = 3$ ? Other small values of  $\delta$ ?

#### Result for $\delta = 1, 2$ :

 Analyze structural properties of *edge-critical* graphs *G* (remove any edge => minimum degree drops)
 Future directions:

Notice:



 $\sum_{v \in V(H)} d(v) = 5; (\Delta_H)^2 = 4 \quad | \quad \text{Maximized in } \mathcal{G}(n, 2) \text{ by } K_{2,n-2}$ 

Sufficient  $(K_{\delta,n-\delta})$ : hom $(K_{\delta,\delta},H)^{\frac{1}{2\delta}} < \Delta_H$  & hom $(K_{\delta+1},H)^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}} < \Delta_H$ ?

- $\delta = 3$ ? Other small values of  $\delta$ ?
- Meaningful structural properties of edge-critical graphs ( $\delta \geq 3$ )?

#### Result for $\delta = 1, 2$ :

 Analyze structural properties of *edge-critical* graphs *G* (remove any edge minimum degree drops)
 Future directions:

Notice:



 $\sum_{v \in V(H)} d(v) = 5; (\Delta_H)^2 = 4 \quad | \quad \text{Maximized in } \mathcal{G}(n, 2) \text{ by } K_{2,n-2}$ 

Sufficient  $(K_{\delta,n-\delta})$ : hom $(K_{\delta,\delta},H)^{\frac{1}{2\delta}} < \Delta_H$  & hom $(K_{\delta+1},H)^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}} < \Delta_H$ ?

- $\delta = 3$ ? Other small values of  $\delta$ ?
- Meaningful structural properties of edge-critical graphs (δ ≥ 3)?
   Thank you!

John Engbers (Notre Dame)

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ニヨー