

Introduction

This research looks at the 'cleanability' of surgical equipment, specifically arthroscopic shaver handles. Shavers are tools used to remove cartilage, bone, and tissue. After an outbreak of surgical site infections, it was discovered that the shavers were still contaminated after reprocessing. In response, the FDA created plastic prototypes of the shavers and ran synthetic bone cement through them to discover the location of the buildup¹. To make this process more efficient, doctoral student, Casey O'Brien, created a simulation of flow through the shaver handles.



Picture of a plastic model

Objectives

The goal is to validate the accuracy of the simulation by: • Characterizing the data from plastic models

- Finding a scaling factor for the plastic model data, so the plastic and simulation correspond
- Determining the run time for the simulation model

Conceptual Model

What is a conceptual model?

• An alternate way to think about a problem that is familiar and easier to understand

For our research we used traffic flow & congestion models

- Vehicles= particles of bone cement
- Roads= inside of the shaver
- Collisions= buildup

Follow-the-Leader Models²

- Vehicles will adjust to their environments when there are collisions and back-ups, which changes traffic flow • Shows us how the flow inside the shaver may change
- when buildup is present
- Lets us see the effect that buildup has on the flow of particles that are not stuck

Cleanability of Reusable Medical Devices

Anna Sisk under the direction of Dr. Stephen Merrill and Casey O'Brien Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, Marquette University

Plastic Model Data





Simulation Model Data

Figure 4



Ran simulation for 40 seconds and took a measurement of particles every second (the different colored lines) • The thick black line is the average from the plastic model data

Figure 5



- Same simulation data as Figure 4
- Found the average area under the simulation curves to be 20.07 mm
- Multiplied the average from the plastic data by a scaling factor of 20, so the area under the plastic average curve matches the area under the simulation curves

- 5 runs and their average of synthetic bone cement through the shaver
- μCT scan is used to find buildup Data presented as a PDF
- Same data as Figure 1, but a cross section of the shaver
- handle is overlaid on the x-axis Gives an idea of where buildup occurs inside the shaver
- Average bounded by bounded by + and – 1 standard
- deviation
- Shows the variability between the 5 runs

	•	٦	To validate the s
		(SSD) between t
	•	L	ooking for a U-s
		8.0	Sum of Square Distances with No
	nces	0.7	
	Differe	0.6	
	luare	0.5	

- Figure 6
- minimum (U-shape) appears

falls in the minimum Looking at the data from the simulation and plastic models, specifically Figures 5 and 7, we have sufficient reason to believe that the simulation corresponds to the plastic model. Thus, the simulation can be used in place of the plastic models

Acknowledgements and

This work was supported in part by a National Science Foundation REU Site grant, #ACI-1461264, 'Computation Across the Disciplines', at Marquette University. We would like to thank Dr. Dennis Brylow and Dr. Kim Factor for directing the REU. A special thanks to Dr. Stephen Merrill and Casey O'Brien for their mentorship.



Results

simulation, sum of squared differences the simulation and plastic data are used -shaped curve



Figure 7

• Figure 6 has no calibration constant and lacks a minimum • In Figure 7 the SSD has a scaling factor of 20 and a broad

Conclusion

Plastic model characterization can be seen in Figures 1-3 By comparing area under the curve we determined 20 to be a reasonable scaling factor

Looking at the scaled SSD in Figure 7, an appropriate run time for the simulation would be 20 seconds, as it clearly

References

1. Lucus, Anne D., Srinidhi Nagaraja, Edward A. Gordon, and Victoria M. Hitchins. "Evaluating Device Design and Cleanability of Orthopedic Device Models Contaminated with a Clinically Relevant Bone Test Soil." *Biomedical* Instrumentation & Technology (2015): 354-362.

2. Mathew, Tom V., and K V Krishna Rao. "Microscopic Traffic Flow Modeling." Introduction to Transportation Engineering. 2007. Print.